Memorandum

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

Subject: **ACTION**: National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 350 Hardware Compliance Dates

Date: August 28, 1998

From: Director, Office of Engineering

Reply to HNG-14

Attn of: 

To: Regional Administrators
Division Administrators
Federal Lands Highway Program Administrator

On August 28, the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Executive Director, Anthony R. Kane concurred in the proposed AASHTO-FHWA Agreement on the NCHRP Report 350 Implementation that was prepared by the AASHTO 350 Task Force and submitted to him on July 1. In this document the task force recommends that the October 1, 1998, deadline for the use of NCHRP Report 350-tested roadside hardware on the National Highway System (NHS) be extended for selected hardware categories. A summary table showing extended implementation dates for several categories of roadside appurtenances, including some work zone hardware, is attached. The information in this memorandum, as it relates to implementation dates for complying with NCHRP 350 testing and evaluation criteria, supercedes such information in the July 25, 1997, memorandum on "Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features." Division offices should work with their States to update the State standard drawings, specifications and policies to ensure crash worthy barriers will be incorporated into NHS projects in accordance with the attached implementation schedule.

Although the table and the accompanying footnotes are generally self-explanatory, there are several items that warrant special attention. The implementation date for the NCHRP Report 350-tested guardrail to bridge rail transitions has been extended to October 1, 2002. However, all new transitions must continue to satisfy NCHRP Report 230 evaluation criteria. Design details for several NCHRP Report 230 transitions have previously been distributed via FHWA Technical Advisories T 5040.26, dated January 28, 1998, and T 5040.34, dated June 8, 1993.

Although in most cases, the AASHTO-FHWA agreement states that upgrading of existing hardware that meets NCHRP Report 230 requirements is not required on 3R projects, an exception is made for w-beam guardrail terminals and cable guardrail terminals. Specifically, it should be noted that although the agreement provides considerable flexibility in retaining existing hardware meeting NCHRP Report 230 criteria on 3R projects, such flexibility does not exist for w-beam guardrail terminals. Also, please note that an extension or exception may be made in the future for the 3-strand cable anchor if planned NCHRP Report 350 tests of the current design are unsuccessful.

Also, a change from the guidance in the FHWA memorandum "Traffic Barrier Safety Policy and Guidance" dated September 29, 1994, is that existing Breakaway Cable Terminals (BCT's) should now be replaced with end treatments meeting NCHRP 350 criteria in conjunction with 3R work. A recent NCHRP Report 350 head-on test of the BCT with an 820-kg car at the test level 2 (TL-2) impact speed of 70 km/h resulted in unacceptable passenger compartment intrusion indicating clearly that the BCT is too stiff to accommodate end-on hits, even at reduced speeds.

Regarding work zone devices, the implementation date for Category II devices (portable sign stands with signs, Type I, II and III barricades, vertical panels, intrusion alarms and other devices not expected to cause significant velocity change) has been extended to October 1, 2000. Under Category III, the implementation date for truck mounted attenuators and work zone crash cushions remains at October 1, 1998, but is extended until October 1, 2002, for other devices within the category. Other such devices include portable concrete barriers.
and devices similar to Category II but with masses that could cause significant velocity change. Portable concrete barriers with joints that fail to transfer tension and moment from one segment to another must be phased out by October 1, 2000, unless an engineering study or in-service performance study demonstrates the barrier will provide the performance requirements of the site where it is to be used. Also note that other Category III devices (other than portable concrete barriers, work zone crash cushions and truck mounted attenuators), such as portable signs with hard (plywood, aluminum) substrate, that have not been demonstrated to be crash worthy must be phased out on a system wide basis by October 1, 2002. Details on acceptable work zone hardware are contained in my August 28 memorandum, "Crash Tested Work Zone Traffic Devices."

Implementation dates for Work Zone Category IV devices (arrow panels, variable message boards, and portable traffic signals/lighting equipment) and for Miscellaneous Hardware (see Footnote 18) have been extended indefinitely pending the results of additional research and analysis. Appropriate dates are expected to be announced within 2 years.

Henry H. Rentz
AASHTO-FHWA

Agreement

Proposed By
AASHTO 350 Task Force On NCHRP 350 Implementation
July 1, 1998

AASHTO 350 TASK FORCE PARTICIPANTS

AASHTO 350 Implementation Task Force
Summary of Implementation Issues by Hardware Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Safety Hardware Type</th>
<th>NCHRP Report 350 Implementation Dates(^1) and Caveats</th>
<th>Work to be Done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use in New Installations(^2)</td>
<td>3R Projects (^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal Barriers: Guardrails, Bridge Railings, and Median Barriers</td>
<td>October 1, 1998(^4) (Except weak-post w-beam system)</td>
<td>October 1, 1998(^4) (Replacement of existing hardware meeting 230 is not required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guardrail to Bridge Rail Transitions</td>
<td>October 1, 2002(^5) (Oct 1, 1998 meet 230)</td>
<td>October 1, 2002(^5) (Replacement of existing hardware meeting 230 is not required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guardrail Terminals</td>
<td>October 1, 1998 (Except cable guardrail terminals(^6))</td>
<td>October 1, 1998 (Replacement of existing hardware not meeting 350 is required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crash Cushions</td>
<td>October 1, 1998</td>
<td>October 1, 1998 (Replacement of existing hardware meeting 230 is not required)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Zone Category I Devices(^8)</td>
<td>October 1, 1998 New devices purchased after 1 Oct 98 must comply to 350(^9). (Agencies can phase out existing devices as they complete their normal service life)</td>
<td>October 1, 1998 New devices purchased after 1 Oct 98 must comply to 350(^9). (Agencies can phase out existing devices as they complete their normal service life)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Zone Category II Devices(^9)</td>
<td>October 1, 2000 New units purchased after 1 Oct 00 must comply to 350(^11). (Agencies can phase out existing devices as they complete their normal service life)</td>
<td>October 1, 2000 New units purchased after 1 Oct 00 must comply to 350(^11). (Agencies can phase out existing devices as they complete their normal service life)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Work Zone Category III Devices

October 1, 2002

Barriers with joints that fail to transfer tension and moment from segment to another must be updated by Oct 1, 2000

New units purchased after Oct 1, 2002 shall comply with 350. (Agencies can phase out existing devices as they complete their normal service life, except that barriers with joints that fail to transfer tension and moment from segment to another will not be acceptable after Oct 1, 2000, unless demonstrated to be crashworthy.)

October 1, 2002

Barriers with joints that fail to transfer tension and moment from on segment to another must be updated by Oct 1, 2000

New units purchased after Oct 1, 2002 shall comply with 350. (Agencies can phase out existing devices as they complete their normal service life, except that barriers with joints that fail to transfer tension and moment from segment to another will not be acceptable after Oct 1, 2000, unless demonstrated to be crashworthy.)

Required after 1 Oct 02 for devices that have not been demonstrated to be crashworthy will not be acceptable

- Crash test existing devices
- Develop new or revised devices

Notes:

1. Date given is the date a construction project under which a feature is to be installed is advertised for bids or the date a feature is to be installed by transportation agency or utility company maintenance or force account workers.

2. A new installation of a feature occurs when one is installed where none exists. (A transportation agency shall define when extensions, relocation, adjustments or major repairs to a feature constitute a new installation.)

3. The general rule is that all permanent safety features on new construction and 3R projects should meet current criteria unless a design exception is obtained. The intention here, and in Note 2, is to continue this rule. However, features that meet the acceptance requirements recommend in NCHRP Report 230, at the discretion of the responsible transportation agency, may remain in place. The preferred treatment of features that must be moved, reconstructed, or extended because of a changed roadway grade, width, or other condition or must be rebuilt because of crash damage is to bring them to current criteria. Nevertheless, a transportation agency, at its discretion, may retain or extend "in-kind" an existing feature meeting the acceptance requirements in NCHRP Report 230. (The FHWA's guidance on guardrail terminal replacement is given in its memorandum cited in Note 7 below.)

4. Full acceptance of this date is contingent upon successful completion and FHWA acceptance of certification tests for the weak-post w-beam guardrail system at Test Level 3. (The weak-post w-beam guardrail length-of-need had met Test Level 2.) These tests are being conducted by Penn State and should be completed by July 1998. If difficulties are encountered, consideration may be given to an exception for weak-post w-beam systems. It should also be pointed out that the turned-down terminal usually used with this system has not met Report 230 requirements. The crash testing histories for bridge railings differ from those of other longitudinal barriers. For information on acceptable bridge railings, see FHWA memorandum from Chief, Federal-aid Division, dated May 30, 1997, Subject:
**Action:** Crash Testing of Bridge Railings.

5. As of May 1998, steel- and wood-post versions of one style w-beam-to-shaped concrete parapet transition have each qualified under Report 350 acceptance criteria. Efforts are underway to qualify other transitions.

6. Full acceptance of this date is contingent upon successful completion and FHWA acceptance of certification tests for the cable guardrail end treatment. Funding is available for two tests of the New York State DOT cable guardrail terminal. It is uncertain if this level of testing will be sufficient or that the testing can be complete to meet the October 1998 implementation date. If difficulties are encountered, it may be necessary to provide some type of exception for this type of system. There are no proven Report 230 qualified cable guardrail terminals. Continued use of existing designs until a Report 350 qualified terminal is available should be supported by a record of acceptable field performance.


8. Category I currently includes plastic cones, drums, and tubes without attachments such as signs or warning lights (see FHWA July 25, 1997 memo 1997, FHWA Director, Office of Engineering, to FHWA field offices, Subject: Action: Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features.)


10. Category II includes portable sign stands (with signs), type-1, -2, & -3 barricades, vertical panels, intrusion alarms, and other work zones devices under 45 kg. (See FHWA memo of July 25, 1997 cited in note 8)

11. Efforts should be made to allow certain classes of devices in Category II to be reclassified as Category I to allow self-certification. Vendors can self-certify Category I devices by meeting the criteria in the FHWA memo cited in Note 8.

12. Category III covers traffic control devices with masses greater that 45 kg. Some portable sign support and sign combinations failed to met Report 350 acceptance requirements and others, such as those incorporating motor vehicle axles as supports, can be expected to fail. These should be phased out of service soon unless they are modified to make them crashworthy. This category also includes portable temporary barriers, work zone crash cushions, and truck-mounted attenuators covered separately in this table. (See FHWA memo of July 25, 1997 cited in note 8). The cited FHWA memorandum discusses reduce test instrumentation. The Report 350 suggests this reduced instrumentation applies to the testing of freestanding devices with masses < 45 kg. Testing has indicated that this criterion is overly conservative. Efforts are underway to develop more appropriate testing and instrumentation guidelines for these devices. Further instruction on this will be forth coming from FHWA Office of Engineering.

13. A barrier will be considered crashworthy if (a) it has been crash tested and met the acceptance requirements proposed in either NCHRP Reports 230 or 350 or (b) it is a barrier with one of the five joints listed as "Tested and Operational Connections" starting on page 9-3 of the 1996 AASHTO Roadside Design Guide or (c) if and Engineering Study of in-service performance demonstrate the barrier will provide the performance requirements of the site where it is to be used.

14. Two "F-shape" portable concrete barriers have qualified under the acceptance criteria in Report 350.

15. Category IV includes work zone traffic control equipment such as arrow panels, variable message
boards, portable traffic signals, and portable lighting equipment. (See FHWA memo of July 25, 1997 cited in note 8)

16. This delay is to allow time to conceive and evaluate alternative measures for making these devices crashworthy, to examine the use and crash histories of existing devices, and to review and, if needed, develop safer, cost-effective strategies for the replacement of these devices that will accomplish providing motorists with needed information for driving in work zones.

17. Breakaway support hardware previously found acceptable under the breakaway requirements of either the 1985 or 1994 editions of the AASHTO Standard Specifications for Structural Supports for Highway Sign, Luminaries and Traffic Signals are acceptable under the NCHRP Report 230 or 350 guidelines. The July 25, 1997, FHWA memorandum cited in the Note 8 exempts utility poles and signal supports from the Report 350 requirements. However, where breakaway utility poles or traffic signal supports are practical they should be used.

18. Miscellaneous hardware items identified as warranting special consideration for implementation timing are short-radius guardrail returns, the "bullnose" guardrail terminal, guardrails over low-fill culverts, guardrail curb combinations, the w-beam-thrie-beam guardrail transition, and culvert end grates.

19. The indicated delay is to provide time to access efforts underway and, if needed, to adjust those effort or initiate new efforts to provide crashworthy versions of the identified miscellaneous hardware items. It is believed that it is possible, in the near future, to complete the development and testing of several miscellaneous devices needed by the states. The short-radius guardrail and bull-nose treatment can meet 350 through similar modifications. A long span guardrail for low-fill culverts is believed to be close to being passed. The guardrail connected to the culvert, short-radius guardrail, and culvert grates can be solved, but there is no known funding for these efforts. Testing of guardrail adjacent to curbs is underway and it is expected that designs meeting 350 will result.